Pakistan Institute of Development Economics

Policy Brief

RASTA

DECODING THE EAST ASIAN SUCCESS AND UNLEASHING FDI'S POTENTIAL TO BOOST LOCAL LEARNING - DEVELOPING A POLICY ROADMAP FOR PAKISTAN

Twangar Kazmi and Mujahid Abdullah

(CGP # 05-114)

(This document is unedited author's version submitted to RASTA)

INTRODUCTION

This research seeks to distil lessons from East Asian FDI models to propose tailored policy recommendations for Pakistan, focusing on sustainable export-led growth. Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) stands as a critical catalyst for economic growth, especially in export-driven strategies, as demonstrated by the success stories of East Asian. These nations have effectively utilized FDI to drive technological advancements, bolster local industrial capabilities, and foster innovation.

Economic growth has traditionally been explained using neoclassical models focusing on labour, capital, and diminishing returns, which predict a steady state with no long-term income growth. Technology has been recognized as a key growth driver, initially seen as an external factor but later integrated into models as an endogenous element. Recent literature emphasizes the importance of technology adoption at firm and industry levels. FDI plays a crucial role in technology transfer and economic transformation, fostering innovation through knowledge spillovers. However, the effectiveness of these spillovers depends on local firms' absorptive capacity and the institutional environment.

Notably, from 1965 to 1990, East Asia had the highest average GDP per capita growth globally. The region transformed dramatically, with economies like Japan, Hong Kong, South Korea, and Singapore leading initial growth phases, followed by ASEAN members and China, adopting export-driven industrialization. Vietnam is also on a similar growth trajectory. FDI sources have shifted, with significant contributions now from within Asia. East Asia’s growth has reduced poverty, driven by labour shifts, education, and productivity.

METHODOLOGY

The methodological framework highlights the role of absorptive capacity, influenced by factors like human capital, intellectual property rights, and trade openness, in mediating the benefits of FDI on economic growth. The research encompasses three phases: comparative policy analysis of East Asian economies, case studies of successful FDI-driven industries, and an econometric model assessing the effects of macro-indicators and institutional characteristics on technology spillovers. Data is sourced from The World Bank, State Bank of Pakistan, Penn World Table, and others.

KEY FINDINGS FROM DATA ANALYSIS

This research highlights crucial determinants influencing its capacity to generate knowledge and productivity spillovers. These determinants include intellectual property rights (IPR), investment environment, legal systems, government size, trade openness, and human capital development.

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR):

A robust IPR framework is vital for safeguarding intellectual assets and encouraging innovation-driven FDI. Empirical studies suggest that strong IPR protection attracts FDI by reducing intellectual property theft risks and encouraging multinational corporations (MNCs) to invest in high-technology sectors. For instance, Park and Lippoldt (2008) found that effective IPR enforcement leads to greater technology transfer and knowledge dissemination. However, the relationship between IPR and FDI spillovers is complex, as shown by mixed results in the analysis. While there is a linear correlation between IPR and labour productivity, its interaction with FDI is not straightforward. Developed economies with skilled workforces may not benefit as much from FDI spillovers as less developed countries with fewer patent applications. Overall, countries like Japan and South Korea, with strong IPR protection, benefit from enhanced innovation capacities and productivity gains driven by FDI.

Investment Environment:

Gross Fixed Capital Formation (GFCF), representing investment in physical assets, is crucial for economic health and productive capacity. Higher levels of domestic private investments, as indicated by GFCF, correlate with stronger FDI spillovers measured through labor productivity. A robust domestic investment environment supports and amplifies the positive effects of FDI, making economies more attractive to foreign investors and better positioned to benefit from knowledge spillovers.

Legal System:

A strong legal system is essential for safeguarding IPR, enforcing contracts, and resolving disputes, facilitating FDI-driven productivity spillovers. Countries with robust legal institutions, like Japan and Singapore, attract FDI and enhance productivity through efficient judicial systems and rigorous protection of intellectual property. These legal frameworks encourage MNCs to engage in activities leading to significant knowledge spillovers to domestic firms.

Size of Government:

Minimal government interference promotes efficient resource allocation, competition, and FDI inflows, driving technological diffusion and productivity growth. Excessive government intervention, however, can stifle entrepreneurial activity and discourage investment. The analysis indicates that higher levels of government interference negatively impact FDI spillovers on total factor productivity. Countries like Hong Kong and South Korea, with limited regulatory burdens, create conducive environments for innovation, entrepreneurship, and investment.

Trade Openness:

Open trade policies enable the inflow of foreign capital, technology, and expertise, boosting productivity and fostering innovation. While countries with fewer trade restrictions tend to experience higher growth rates and greater economic benefits from FDI, the analysis shows no significant impact of trade openness on productivity spillovers. The positive impact of trade openness on FDI spillovers diminishes in fixed effects regressions, suggesting the benefits are contingent on other structural and institutional variables unique to each country.

Human Capital Development:

Investments in education, training, and skills enhancement are critical for leveraging FDI to generate knowledge and productivity spillovers. Countries prioritizing education reform and vocational training, such as Taiwan and Malaysia, are better positioned to absorb and utilize foreign technologies and managerial practices effectively. However, empirical results indicate that higher human capital may see a diminished effect of FDI on productivity, as highly skilled economies may already be operating at high efficiency and innovation levels.

KEY DISCUSSION POINTS

Trade Openness:

Pakistan's reliance on import tariffs for tax revenue undermines trade integration and export competitiveness. High tariffs and a weak tax administration lead to prolonged protection of domestic industries, stifling their development and competitiveness. The National Tariff Policy (NTP) of 2019 aims to promote trade and eliminate anti-export bias but retains elements of domestic protection, which perpetuate market inefficiencies. Non-tariff barriers (NTBs) such as cumbersome customs procedures, regulatory compliance requirements, and bureaucratic red tape further inhibit trade. These barriers create a less favourable environment for FDI by increasing the cost of imported inputs and intermediate goods, protecting inefficient domestic industries, and restricting market access. Consequently, these conditions limit FDI's potential to generate backward and forward linkages with local firms, crucial for spillover effects. Protectionist policies also misallocate resources to less efficient sectors, reducing the potential for FDI to drive technological and managerial advancements across the economy.

Promoting Foreign and Domestic Investment:

Pakistan's low-savings and low-investment trap significantly constrains its ability to attract and effectively utilize FDI. The economy does not generate enough resources for adequate savings, leading to insufficient investments. Private sector financing is geared towards government borrowing rather than supporting the SME sector. This scenario limits domestic investment in essential infrastructure such as transportation, energy, and telecommunications, deterring foreign investors. Even when FDI does enter the country, inadequate infrastructure reduces its efficiency and productivity, thereby limiting potential spillovers. Domestic firms lack the financial capacity to engage in joint ventures or partnerships with foreign investors, restricting opportunities for technology transfer and collaborative innovation. Investments are not directed towards high-value, export-oriented sectors, perpetuating inefficiencies and reducing the overall impact of FDI on economic growth.

Human Capital Development:

Pakistan's human capital development is concerning, with the country ranking 134th out of 157 on the World Bank's Human Capital Index (HCI). This low ranking reflects poor educational outcomes, with children averaging only 8.8 years of schooling and a quality-adjusted education level of around 4.8 years. Public concern over the quality of education is high, with many believing it hinders economic performance. This underdeveloped human capital affects the ability to absorb and implement advanced technologies introduced by foreign investors. The workforce's lack of essential skills and education limits industrial innovation and productivity, reducing the potential for technology transfer and knowledge spillovers. Without a strong foundation in education, local industries struggle to engage in innovative activities, affecting product development and process improvements.

KEY POLICY REFORMS:

Setting Strategic Goals and Objectives

- *Enhancing Export Competitiveness*: Diversify Pakistan's export portfolio by promoting high-value-added products, upgrading trade infrastructure, and strengthening market access through trade agreements and reduced barriers.
- *Promoting Investment and Industrial Development*: Attract FDI by creating an investor-friendly climate, encouraging innovation and technology transfer, and developing human capital through education and skills development.
- *Fostering Sustainable Development*: Promote environmental sustainability, address social inclusion and equity, and strengthen institutions and governance to ensure transparency and accountability.

Policy Reforms and Institutional Strengthening

- *Investment Policy Reform*: Simplify investment procedures, offer incentives, and provide legal protection for foreign investors to stimulate FDI and technology transfer.
- *Industrial Policy Reform*: Revamp policies to promote competitiveness and innovation, support SMEs, and develop value chains.
- *Export Promotion Policy*: Adopt proactive measures to diversify exports, penetrate new markets, and enhance competitiveness through financial incentives and trade promotion activities.
- *Fiscal Policy Reform*: Reform tax policies to increase revenue generation, promote investment, and create fiscal space for infrastructure and human capital development.
- *Institutional Capacity Building*: Strengthen government institutions' technical expertise, promote inter-agency coordination, and invest in public servants' training.
- *Regulatory Reform*: Streamline regulatory frameworks to reduce red tape and improve the business environment.
- *Legal and Judicial Reform*: Enhance judicial efficiency and independence to ensure contract enforcement and protect property rights.
- *Institutional Coordination*: Establish mechanisms for policy coherence and alignment across government sectors.
- *Monitoring and Evaluation*: Strengthen monitoring mechanisms to track progress, measure impact, and ensure accountability.

Promoting Innovation and Technology Adoption

- *Establishing Innovation Ecosystems*: Invest in R&D, set up incubators and accelerators, and develop technology parks to foster innovation and collaboration.
- *Strengthening Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Regime*: Enact and enforce laws protecting IPR to incentivize innovation.
- *Enhancing Technology Adoption*: Facilitate technology transfer and skill development to enhance productivity and competitiveness.
- *Leveraging Digital Transformation*: Expand digital infrastructure and implement e-government initiatives to enhance public service efficiency and foster innovation.

Market Diversification and Export Promotion

- *Market Analysis and Target Identification*: Conduct comprehensive market research to identify new export opportunities and prioritize high-potential markets.
- *Export Promotion and Market Access*: Implement targeted initiatives like trade fairs and streamline trade procedures to improve market access.
- *Product and Market Diversification*: Diversify export products and geographical destinations to reduce dependency on traditional markets.
- *Trade Promotion Partnerships*: Promote public-private collaboration and strengthen international cooperation to support export promotion.

Enhancing Trade Facilitation and Market Access

- *Trade Facilitation Measures*: Simplify customs procedures and harmonize trade standards to streamline processes and reduce costs.
- *Trade Finance and Insurance*: Facilitate access to export finance and introduce export credit guarantee schemes.
- *Trade Policy Reform*: Rationalize tariff structures, reduce non-tariff barriers, and implement trade facilitation agreements to enhance market access.

CONCLUSION

This research reveals key factors influencing the ability of FDI to generate knowledge and productivity spillovers in host economies. It highlights the importance of strong intellectual property rights (IPR), a robust investment environment, an efficient legal system, strategic government interference, trade openness, and human capital development. For Pakistan, addressing barriers such as trade openness, low domestic investment, and underdeveloped human capital is crucial to harnessing the full potential of FDI for sustainable economic growth. Enhancing trade policies, fostering a conducive investment environment, and prioritizing human capital development can significantly improve Pakistan’s ability to benefit from FDI-induced knowledge and productivity spillovers in line with the East Asian successes. Moreover, the realisation that this potential can be a gamechanger for the country in a relatively short span of time merits an in-depth exploration and consideration at the national policy level.